

---

Alekssei I. Shestakov  
**Solution of the nonlinear multifrequency radiation  
diffusion equations using pseudo transient continuation<sup>1</sup>**

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
POB 808, L-38  
Livermore CA 94550  
shestakov@llnl.gov

Computer codes simulating high energy density physics consist of modules for distinct physical processes, e.g., compressible hydrodynamics and radiation transport. For the latter, one model assumes tight coupling between radiation and matter. The dependent variables are the spectral radiation energy density  $u(x, \nu, t)$  and the matter temperature  $T(x, t)$ , where  $x$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $t$  denote position, frequency, and time, respectively. The system is of parabolic form,

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (D \nabla u) + c \rho \kappa (B - u), \quad (1)$$

$$\rho c_v \partial_t T = -c \rho \int_0^\infty d\nu \kappa (B - u). \quad (2)$$

In (1)-(2),  $c$  is the speed of light,  $\rho$  the mass density,  $\kappa$  the opacity, and  $c_v$  the specific heat. The Planck function  $B \propto y^3/(e^y - 1)$ , where  $y \propto \nu/T$ . In our context,  $\rho(x, t)$  is a known function. The opacity is a complicated function of  $\rho$ ,  $T$ , and  $\nu$ . For “free-free transitions”,  $\kappa \propto \nu^{-3}$ . The coefficient  $D$  depends on the mean free path  $\ell \doteq 1/\rho\kappa$  and, to mitigate unphysical propagation speeds, a flux limiter is introduced. One common description is  $D = c/[f(u) + 3/\ell]$ , where  $f = |\nabla u|/u$ .

Equations (1)-(2) are solved by discretizing the spectrum  $0 \leq \nu \leq \infty$  into  $G$  groups defined by  $\{\nu_j\}_{j=0}^G$ . Integration over each interval  $(\nu_{j-1}, \nu_j)$ , yields the multigroup equations in which the integral over  $\nu$  is replaced by a sum of  $G$  terms. The system is difficult to solve because of its nonlinearity and wide ranges of time and spatial scales. The ranges are evidenced by the coupling  $c\rho\kappa$  ( $= c/\ell$ ) and diffusion  $D \sim c\ell$  terms. High frequency radiation is characterized by  $\ell \gg 1$ , i.e., slow coupling and fast transport. The opposite holds for low frequencies. In simulations, the coefficients can vary over 10 to 30 orders of magnitude. The difficulty is compounded by nonlinearity and material composition since the coefficients depend on  $\rho$  and  $T$ .

In this talk we describe a scheme to solve (1)-(2) for multiphysics codes containing a separate hydrodynamic module. Since such codes typically run at the

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Dept. of Energy by the Univ. of Calif. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

Courant-limited *sound* speed, for our applications, the time step  $\Delta t$  is arbitrarily large. Hence, we use backward Euler temporal differencing. After multiplying through by  $\Delta t$ , we obtain,

$$0 = u_j^0 - u_j + \nabla \cdot (D'_j \nabla u_j) + k_j (B_j - u_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, G, \quad (3)$$

$$0 = \rho c_v (T^0 - T) - \sum_{j=1}^G k_j (B_j - u_j), \quad (4)$$

where  $D'_j = \Delta t D_j$ ,  $k_j = c \Delta t \rho \kappa_j$ , and the superscript 0 denotes the solution at the prior time level. The index  $j$  replaces the frequency dependence. Thus,  $u_j = \int d\nu u$  and similarly for  $B$ , where the integration is over  $(\nu_{j-1}, \nu_j)$ . The coefficients  $D_j$  and  $\kappa_j$  denote averages over the interval.

Viewing (3)-(4) as a nonlinear elliptic system, we introduce pseudo transient continuation ( $\Psi$ tc). On the LS of (3), we place  $(u_j - u_j^*)/\Delta\tau$ , where  $\Delta\tau$  is the  $\Psi$ tc parameter and  $u_j^*$  is the solution at the previous *pseudo* time. Similarly, the LS of (4) becomes  $\rho c_v (T - T^*)/\Delta\tau$ . The desired solution is the pseudo time steady-state.

For each  $\Psi$ tc step, we linearize  $B_j$  about the previous iterate,  $B_j = B_j^* + (\partial B_j / \partial T)|_{T=T^*} (T - T^*)$ . Remaining coefficients, e.g.,  $k_j$ , are evaluated at  $T = T^*$ . We avoid a full Newton linearization in order to maintain robustness. (Coefficients such as  $\kappa_j$  are only known approximately and are given in tabular form.) For the first  $\Psi$ tc step,  $u_j^* = u_j^0$  and  $T^* = T^0$ .

The energies  $u_j$  are directly coupled to  $T$  through the coefficients  $k_j$ . The equation for  $T$  does not contain any spatial derivatives. After linearizing, we solve for  $T$  analytically and substitute the result into the  $u_j$  equations, (Schur complement). This yields  $G$  equations in which each  $u_j$  is explicitly coupled to the rest. The linear system is of order  $NG$ , where  $N$  is the number of spatial points and is of the form

$$(\Lambda - M_1 - M_2) u = b. \quad (5)$$

In (5),  $\Lambda$  is diagonal,  $M_1$  contains the offdiagonal terms stemming from diffusion and  $M_2$ , from intergroup coupling. The parameter  $1/\Delta\tau$  appears in both  $\Lambda$  and  $b$ ; in both places, it contributes to robustness.

We derive conditions on  $1/\Delta\tau$  that yield diagonal dominance and non-negative RS,  $b \geq 0$ . The conditions determine the initial value of  $1/\Delta\tau$ . Our strategy ensures that each  $\Psi$ tc iterate, yields a physically reasonable result. In “real” problems, the requirement is crucial since the solution of (5) is used to obtain  $T$ , which in turn determines updates of  $k_j$ ,  $B_j$ , etc. A conventional Newton iteration may generate an unphysical value, e.g.,  $T = -1$ , causing the code to halt.

The scheme has been implemented in a radiation-hydrodynamic code. Results will be presented comparing the  $\Psi$ tc scheme with a more conventional one.